## College Physics Release Notes 2020

Release Number

321

## Page Count Difference

In the latest edition of College Physics there is a page count increase from 1416 pages to 1566 pages due to errata changes and the introduction of a new design.

## Errata:

Below is a table containing submitted errata, and the resolutions that OpenStax has provided for this latest text.

| Location | Detail | Resolution Notes | Error Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 01 Appendix C | Update to revise the definitions of several fundamental physical constants that were adopted May 2019. | Revise as indicated. | General/pedago gical suggestion or question |
| Chapter 01 Table 1.2 | The Value column in Table 1.2 has a footnote [1] which states: "See Appendix A for a discussion of powers of 10." There is no discussion of powers of 10 in Appendix A. However, there is a discussion under the heading of Metric Prefixes on the preceding page. Suggest removing the footnote from the table. | This footnote will be removed. | General/pedago gical suggestion or question |
| Chapter 01.3 Accuracy, Precision, and Significant Figures | The text says "The precision of a measurement system is refers to" | Delete the "is" before refers. | Typo |
| Chapter 01.4 | In Example 1.4, the first sentence states: "The U.S. federal deficit in the 2008 fiscal year was a little greater than \$10 trillion." <br> The easiest solution is to change deficit to debt and greater to less. <br> A quick check on wikipedia gives the deficit and debt in a convenient form for 2008 here: <br> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2008_United_States_fed eral_budget <br> I was suspicious of the order of magnitude of the figure in the text, as, to my memory, \$10 Trillion is roughly the current *debt* (not deficit) of the U.S. I could not find any sources for a $\$ 10$ trillion deficit in 2008. <br> I first found a report from the Congressional Budget Office giving the 2009 deficit as "The Treasury recently reported that the federal government recorded a total budget deficit of $\$ 1.4$ trillion in fiscal year 2009, about $\$ 960$ billion more than the deficit incurred in 2008." | Revise this sentence to "The U.S. federal debt in the 2008 fiscal year was a little less than \$10 trillion." | Other factual inaccuracy in content |


| Chapter 02 | If we define the upward direction as positive, then $\mathrm{a}=-\mathrm{g}=-9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ <br> , and if we define the downward direction as positive, then $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g}=9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$ <br> -->The upward direction should be negative and not positive for the value of a to be -g. | Revise "If we define the upward direction as positive..." to "If we define the upward direction as negative..." | Typo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 02 Kinematics, Problems \& Exercises 64 | If Figure 2.64 represents the position vs time, there is no way that Figure 2.65 can represent the velocity vs time. <br> In particular, for the period from 15 to 20 sec Figure 2.64 shows a constant velocity, whereas 2.65 shows a continually increasing velocity. <br> Both cannot be correct. <br> As a result of the original erratum (1823) question \#61's wording was updated in the ISM which now does not match the book. Please review and advise in detail on what changes need to be made to resolve this report and the ISM discrepancy. | Figure 2.65 will be updated. The wording in the solution manual will be updated. | Other factual inaccuracy in content |
| Chapter 02 Problem \#50 | Part b of the question asks how long the kangaroo is in the air. The answer given is the time the kangaroo takes to reach a height of 2.50 m after leaving the ground. This number should be doubled to calculate the total time in the air. | The solution manual will be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 02 Problem \#66 | The solution for the acceleration graph and the corresponding table of values is completely incorrect. The acceleration at the points where the velocity changes is infinite. The velocity is shown as jumping instantly from one value to another (at least within the resolution of the graph.) It would be ok to say that the acceleration is not truly infinite but is just very large, but the solution states that the acceleration is simply the change in the velocity in units of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$. I would suggest that the acceleration plot should not be asked for in the question, and instead the students can be asked to just evaluate the acceleration inside the time intervals during which velocity is constant (and the answer would be 0 ) and then the question could ask something qualitative about the moments at which the velocity changes, along the lines of "Can you say something about the acceleration at the moments at which the velocity changes?" and the answer should be something like.. "Since the velocity changes instantly, the acceleration would have to be infinite at exactly 2 s , $3 \mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{~s}$. We should think off this as an approximation. The acceleration is very large and negative at 2 s , and very large and positive at 3 s and 5 s ." | Revise question 66 to "Figure 2.68 shows the position graph for a particle for 5 s. (a) Draw the corresponding Velocity vs. Time graph. (b) What is the acceleration between 0 s and 2 s? (c) What happens to the acceleration at exactly 2 s?" | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 02 Problem 60 | On graph of Position vs. Time, position is given in meters. However, the table accompanying this graph, gives the position in kilometers | The solution manual will be updated to change "km" to " $m$ " in the table. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Revise the question stem for } \\ \text { problem } 66 \text { to "Figure } 2.68 \\ \text { shows the position graph for } \\ \text { a particle for } 6 \text { s. } \\ \text { (a) Draw the corresponding }\end{array} \\ \text { Chaps. }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | on the tooth. <br> Alternatively, add an additional force to the free body <br> diagram labeled something like F_jaw- meaning the <br> force of the jaw that resists the force of the braces but <br> this force should be due north and then the sum F=0 is <br> correct. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | "Step 3: Given T = 25.0 N, find Fapp . Using Newton's <br> laws gives $\Sigma$ Fy $=0$, so that the applied force is due to <br> the y -components of the two tensions:" |  |  |
| Chapter 05.1 | "to to" should be "to" in <br> "... the gel that couples the transducer to the skin also <br> serves to to lubricate ..." | Delete the repeated "to". | Typo |


| Chapter 10 \#28 | It should have started with KE-rot + KE-linear = PE-grav | The solution manual will be updated to "KE_rot + KE_trans = PE_grav". | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 10 Problem \#15 part b | The units in the answer key are simply wrong, although the calculations are otherwise correct. The acceleration should be in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$, rather than in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$. | The solution manual will be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 10.4 Figure 10.16 caption | The caption to Figure 10.16 concludes, "The flywheel's energy can then be used to accelerate, to go up another hill, or to keep the bus from going against friction." It's not at all clear what is meant by "from going against friction." I think the caption could better read, "... or to keep the bus from slowing down due to friction or air resistance." Or even just remove the word "from" | Revise the end of the caption to "...keep the bus from slowing down due to friction." | General/pedago gical suggestion or question |
| Chapter 11 overview | The heading on the chapter 11 overview page says "Fluid Statistics" instead of "Fluid Statics." | Our reviewers accepted this change. | Typo |
| Chapter 11.8 Example 11.11 | I am a physicist (Ph D LSU 1967) and tutor college and high school students in my retirement. I believe there is a mistake in Example 11.11 Surface Tension: Pressure Inside a Bubble in the on-line Openstax AP Physics book. The last sentence in the Discussion states that "if a hole were to be made in the bubble, ..., and the pressure inside the bubble would increase to atmospheric pressure." Since the calculated pressure in the example was the gauge pressure ( 5.56 mm Hg ), then if a hole were to be made in the soap bubble would the gauge pressure not reduce to zero and the absolute pressure not reduce to 760 mm Hg ? Case \#30914 | Revise the discussion section of Example 11.11 to "Note that if a hole were to be made in the bubble, the air would be forced out, the bubble would decrease in radius, and the gauge pressure would reduce to zero, and the absolute pressure inside would decrease to atmospheric pressure ( 760 mm Hg )." | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 14.2 | In example 14.2, Q is calculated to be $7.35 \times 10^{\wedge} 6$. When it is substituted below, it reads as $7.35 \times 10^{\wedge} 5$. This results in the given answer of 9.2 rather than 92 . | Revise " $7.35 \times 10^{\wedge} 5 \mathrm{~J}$ " to " $7.35 \times 10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{~J}$ " and revise "9.2" to "92". | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 14.3 Figure 14.8 | In the lower left corner of the graph the temperature rises by 20 degrees $C$ for added energy per unit mass of about $40 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$. So the slope is about 0.5 , indicating a specific heat for ice of about 2 . cal/(g degreeC). The slope should be greater for ice than for liquid water, not less. The portion of the plot for steam should also be steeper than for liquid water. | This figure will be updated. | Other factual inaccuracy in content |
| Chapter 16 Problem \#16.11.71 | The statement of the problem says there is a circular spot of diameter 2.00 mm , but the solution treats uses radius 2.00 mm . The answer is thus off by a factor of 4 . Next, part b asks the students to compare their calculated intensity with the intensity of sunlight, which the text gives as $700 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$, but that the solution manual misquotes as $1 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$. Finally, part b asks students to compare two intensities and to discuss the | The solution manual will be updated to address these issues. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |


|  | implications of the intensity difference, finally telling students to "Note how your answer depends on the time duration of the exposure." One can compare intensities without reference to time duration; if you want them to compare time required to deliver a set amount of energy you should ask them to calculate the time. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 16 Problem \#16.5.35 | In part b of problem 16.5.35, students are asked to calculate how much a rope (with known force constant) stretches if mountain climber free falls for $h=2.00$ meters before the rope "runs out of slack." The solutions manual simply takes the change in gravitational potential energy from falling 2.00 meters and puts it into the spring ( $m g h=1 / 2 k x^{\wedge} 2$ ). This neglects that the climber continues to lose gravitational potential energy as the rope stretches after "running out of slack." The correct equation to solve would be $m g(h+x)=1 / 2 k x^{\wedge} 2$. <br> In part c, students are asked how their answers change if the rope is doubled in length. My students were not equipped to answer this question on their own -- I had to walk them through the idea that a rope under a given tension expands a certain fraction of its original length, so that if a rope's length is doubled it stretches twice as far, indicating that the force constant has in effect been halved. I think part c should be removed from the question or become its own question, with more guidance included. Is there even a discussion of springs in series in the text? | Add the following to the end of part (b): "Ignore the energy the climber gains as the rope stretches." Delete part (c). | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 16 Problem 41 | The solution to problem \#41 is listed at 9\% when it should be 5.91\%. <br> A decrease of $3 \%$ would change the amplitude by a factor of 97. $P E=1 / 2 k x^{\wedge} 2=1 / 2 k\left(.97 x^{\wedge} 2\right)=.9409 \mathrm{PE}$ <br> Thus changing PE by a factor of .9409 or $94.09 \%$ <br> From $100 \%$ to $94.09 \%$ would be a decrease of $5.91 \%$ | The solution manual will be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 16.2 | First paragraph: Space needed between frequency and f. Second equation: unit cycle/sec should be replaced by cycle/s. Probably in Tutor the same issues as in the textbook regarding the abbreviation for second here: equation (16.9), Section 24.1 last sentence, Table 32.3, | Revise to add a space between Frequency and f, and replace sec with s. | Typo |
| Chapter 17.2 | Just above the table of speeds in table 17.1 there is a very misleading statement that "The greater the density of a medium, the slower the speed of sound." But then it is in apparent contradiction to the table of values. I suggest clarifying this with something like: <br> "Within the same medium, higher density slows sound | Revise "This observation is analogous to the fact that the frequency of a simple harmonic motion is directly proportional to the stiffness of the oscillating object. The greater the density of a medium, the slower the | Other factual inaccuracy in content |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { waves however in general higher density mediums will } \\ \text { have faster sound waves." }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { speed of sound. This } \\ \text { observation is analogous to } \\ \text { the fact that the frequency } \\ \text { of a simple harmonic motion } \\ \text { is inversely proportional to }\end{array} \\ \text { the mass of the oscillating } \\ \text { object." } \\ \text { to }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { "For materials that have } \\ \text { similar rigidities, sound will } \\ \text { travel faster through the one } \\ \text { with the lower density } \\ \text { because the sound energy is } \\ \text { more easily transferred from } \\ \text { particle to particle." }\end{array}\right\}$

| Chapter 20.2 Problem 21 | The answer to \#21 is listed as 350V when it should be 3.5 V . The outlined work is correct, but the wrong answer is given. The book states: $\mathrm{VIR}(2.50$ 10-2 A ) $(140 \Omega)=350 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> When $(2.50 \mathrm{e}-2)(140)=3.5$ | The solution manual will be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 22 problems | (Sorry! I previously submitted this with the WRONG chapter. This should be in Chapter 22.) Problem \#74 describes a particle moving at $6 \times 10^{\wedge}-7$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$-- much slower than is reasonable. This produces much lower than reasonable voltages and unreasonable radii for the charges in the magnetic field. You could simply change that speed to be $10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ or something like that. | Revise " $6.00 \times 10^{\wedge}-7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ " to " $6.00 \times 10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ " in the question stem. The solution manual will also be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 22.4 | The 'b' should be capitaliz | Our reviewers accepted this change. | Typo |
| Chapter 23 ISM Problem 101 | part d: resonance freq $\begin{aligned} & * ? ~ 1 /\left(2^{*} \mathrm{pi}^{*} \mathrm{sqrt}\left(80 \mathrm{e}-6^{*} 100 \mathrm{e}-6\right)\right) \\ & 1779.406358542943 \\ & \text { NOT } 56.3 \mathrm{kHz} \end{aligned}$ | The solution manual will be updated. | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 23.1 | On the second to last sentence of the first paragraph, two words are combined into one ("emfthat"). They should be separated to read "emf that". | I have corrected this typo in webview. The changes will be picked up in the PDF on the next release. | Typo |
| Chapter 25 problem \#12b | The problem assumes that the horizontal distance to the diver's apparent image is the same as the real distance. I believe it is more complicated than than. Unless that issue is covered in the text, I would drop part b of this problem. | Figure 25.53 will be updated. Also revise "incidence" to "refraction" in part a, and add the following sentence to the end of part b: <br> "Assume the diver and the diver's image are the same horizontal distance from the normal." | Incorrect answer, calculation, or solution |
| Chapter 26.5 Problem 35 | problem suggests a 3 m focal length eyepiece is a possible thing <br> ... 3 cm or 3 mm would be possible. Also I doubt that any modern telescope with a 5 m focal length would have eyepieces <br> ..the great 40 foot would be an ancient example | Revise " 3.00 m" to " 3.00 cm". | Other factual inaccuracy in content |
| Chapter 27.7 Example $27.6$ | The n for air is written is 100, when it should be 1.00 | Revise n_1 = 100 to n_1 = 1.00. | Typo |
| Chapter 28.2 Simultaneity And Time Dilation | Various issues with presentation of the Simultaneity section. See attachment. | This section will be updated. | General/pedago gical suggestion or question |
| Chapter 28.6 Example $28.8$ | Part B of Example 28.8: <br> Typo in the mass- 9.00*10^-31kg was used for mass, but it should be 9.11*10^-31kg. | Our reviewers accepted this change. | Typo |


| Chapter 29.7, Figure $29.25 b$ | Open Stax College Physics section 29.7, Figure 29.25b Based on the text that references this figure, it should be labeled as photons rather than protons, both in the label under the image and in the figure caption. <br> Submitted by Customer Support on behalf of user. Case number 00031599 | Revise "protons" to "photons" in the figure caption and figure. | Typo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chapter 31.2 Question 4 | Part a asks "The applied voltage sweeps the ions out of the gas in $1.00 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ <br> $1.00 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. What is the current?" <br> In the solution the number of charges get multiplied by 2, taking into account that electrons and positively charged ions are created and used to determine the total current. In Section 31.2, this concept is not covered and it could be assumed that only the electrons collected at the center wire are responsible for the current - not using the factor two in the calculation. | In the text before Figure 31.9, revise "...tube produces free ion pairs that are attracted to the wire..." to "...tube produces free ion pairs (each pair consisting of one positively charged particle and one negatively charged particle) that are attracted to the wire...". <br> This should clarify what is needed for the question. | General/pedago gical suggestion or question |
| Chapter 32.2 | In the section titled "Problem-Solving Strategy", Step 5 says to use the definition of the gray (Gy), but mistakenly shows the unit sievert (Sv): "... use the definition that $1 \mathrm{~Sv}=1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}$ ". It should instead say "... 1 Gy = $1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}^{\prime \prime}$. | Revise the last sentence in Step 5 to "To calculate the dose in Gy use the definition that $1 \mathrm{~Gy}=1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}$." Also revise the first sentence in Step 6 to "To calculate the dose in mSv , determine the RBE (QF) of the radiation." | Typo |
| Chapter 34, Section 1 and Summary | The Hubble constant is a velocity per distance, not times distance. The value should be $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ per Mly (which would be $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Mly}$ ). It is listed as $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ * Mly, which will be read as $20(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s})$ * Mly, implying the Mly is in the numerator of the units, which it is not. As written it is unclear the Mly should be in the denominator of the units. This error was previously submitted but was not corrected. To verify the value and how it is typically written please refer to the OpenStax Astronomy Textbook | This section will be revised to clarify the units. | Other factual inaccuracy in content |

